



GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF
BUDGET AND PROGRAM PLANNING

Fiscal Note 2017 Biennium

Bill #	HB0311	Title:	Eliminate the requirement for horse brand inspections for transport
Primary Sponsor:	Hertz, Greg	Status:	As Introduced

- | | | |
|---|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Significant Local Gov Impact | <input type="checkbox"/> Needs to be included in HB 2 | <input type="checkbox"/> Technical Concerns |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Included in the Executive Budget | <input type="checkbox"/> Significant Long-Term Impacts | <input type="checkbox"/> Dedicated Revenue Form Attached |

FISCAL SUMMARY

	<u>FY 2016 Difference</u>	<u>FY 2017 Difference</u>	<u>FY 2018 Difference</u>	<u>FY 2019 Difference</u>
Expenditures:				
General Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
State Special Revenue	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Revenue:				
General Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
State Special Revenue	(\$49,681)	(\$49,681)	(\$49,681)	(\$49,681)
Net Impact-General Fund Balance:	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

Description of fiscal impact: HB 311 removes the brand inspection requirement for the sale of horses. It also eliminates the county to county inspection requirement for a saddle, work, or show horse transported by the owner for personal use or business.

FISCAL ANALYSIS

Assumptions:

Department of Livestock

1. Based on most the recent report to the Board of Livestock (calendar year 2013), 2,426 horses were sold or transported between counties and generated \$6 each in revenue for a total of \$14,556.
2. Based on the same data, there were 1,405 lifetime inspections at \$25 each for total revenue of \$35,125.
3. It is assumed the same number of horses would be sold or transported in the future. The decrease in annual revenue would be \$49,681 each year (\$14,556 + \$35,125).
4. It is assumed that statistical and relevant workload will remain in the Brand Enforcement Division and expenditure reductions will not be realized because out-of-state movement still requires inspection.

	<u>FY 2016 Difference</u>	<u>FY 2017 Difference</u>	<u>FY 2018 Difference</u>	<u>FY 2019 Difference</u>
<u>Fiscal Impact:</u>				
<u>Expenditures:</u>				
TOTAL Expenditures	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
<u>Funding of Expenditures:</u>				
General Fund (01)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
State Special Revenue (02)				
TOTAL Funding of Exp.	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
<u>Revenues:</u>				
General Fund (01)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
State Special Revenue (02)	<u>(\$49,681)</u>	<u>(\$49,681)</u>	<u>(\$49,681)</u>	<u>(\$49,681)</u>
TOTAL Revenues	<u>(\$49,681)</u>	<u>(\$49,681)</u>	<u>(\$49,681)</u>	<u>(\$49,681)</u>
<u>Net Impact to Fund Balance (Revenue minus Funding of Expenditures):</u>				
General Fund (01)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
State Special Revenue (02)	(\$49,681)	(\$49,681)	(\$49,681)	(\$49,681)

Sponsor's Initials

Date

Budget Director's Initials

Date